

**MATH-449 - Biostatistics**  
**EPFL, Spring 2023**  
**Problem Set 4 - Answer Key**

1. (Exercise 2.4 in ABG 2008) Let  $M$  be a discrete time martingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , for  $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and suppose  $M_0 = 0$ . Prove that  $M^2 - \langle M \rangle$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}$ , that is, that  $E(M_n^2 - \langle M \rangle_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = M_{n-1}^2 - \langle M \rangle_{n-1}$ .

**Solution** We have  $\langle M \rangle_n = \sum_{i=1}^n E[(M_i - M_{i-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$  and  $\langle M \rangle_0 = 0$  by definition, which means that  $M_0^2 - \langle M \rangle_0 = 0$ . For  $n > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E[M_n^2 - \langle M \rangle_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= E[(M_n - M_{n-1} + M_{n-1})^2 - E[(M_n - M_{n-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - \langle M \rangle_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= E[(M_n - M_{n-1})^2 + M_{n-1}^2 - E[(M_n - M_{n-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - \langle M \rangle_{n-1} \\ &= E[(M_n - M_{n-1})^2 - E[(M_n - M_{n-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + M_{n-1}^2 - \langle M \rangle_{n-1} \\ &= M_{n-1}^2 - \langle M \rangle_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $E[(M_n - M_{n-1})M_{n-1}] = 0$ , and that  $\langle M \rangle_{n-1}$  and  $M_{n-1}^2$  are  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable.

2. Suppose we have  $n$  independent survival times  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , where  $T_i$  corresponds to the time of death of individual  $i$ . Suppose we somehow could observe each individual from  $t = 0$  up to his/her time of death.

In the lectures you learned that a counting process  $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is an increasing right-continuous integer-valued stochastic process such that  $N(0) = 0$ . Write down the counting process  $N_i^c$  (that "counts" the death of individual  $i$ ) in terms of  $T_i$ .

**Solution**  $N_i^c$  has at most one jump, at time  $T_i$ . As  $N_i^c(t)$  is right-continuous it must take the form  $N_i^c(t) = I(T_i \leq t)$

You have also learned about the *intensity process*  $\lambda$  of a counting process  $N$  with respect to a filtration  $\mathcal{F}$ . It is informally defined through the relationship  $\lambda(t)dt = E[dN(t)|\mathcal{F}_t]$ .

3. Argue that the intensity of  $N^c$  is given by  $\lambda_i^c(t) = \alpha_i(t)Z_i(t)$ , where  $Z_i(t) = I(T_i \geq t)$ .

In general, if the intensity  $\lambda(t)$  of a counting process  $N(t)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  can be written on the form

$$\lambda(t) = \alpha(t) \cdot Z(t),$$

where  $\alpha$  is an unknown deterministic function and  $Z$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -predictable<sup>§</sup> function that does not depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $N(t)$  is said to satisfy the *multiplicative intensity model*<sup>\*</sup>.

4. (Exercise 1.10 in ABG 2008) Consider the scenario in Exercise 2, and let  $\mathcal{F}_t^c$  be the filtration generated by  $\{N_i^c(s), s \leq t, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . In the lectures we have seen that the intensity of  $N_i^c$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}^c$  in this case is  $\lambda_i^c(t) = E[dN_i^c(t)|\mathcal{F}_t^c] = \alpha_i(t)Z_i(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i(t)$  is the hazard function of individual  $i$  and  $Z_i(t) = I(T_i \geq t)$ . Consider the aggregated counting process  $N^c(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i^c(t)$ .

i) Let  $\{\eta_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n$  be known, positive, continuous functions. Find the intensity process of  $N^c$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t^c$  when  $\alpha_i$  take the following forms:

- $\alpha_i(t) = \alpha(t)$
- $\alpha_i(t) = \eta_i(t)\alpha(t)$
- $\alpha_i(t) = \alpha(t) + \eta_i(t)$

<sup>§</sup>Recall that this holds when  $Z$  is left-continuous and adapted to  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e. that all the information needed to know the value of  $Z$  at time  $t$  is contained in  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .

<sup>\*</sup>We will later derive estimators for the unknown function  $\alpha$  under the multiplicative intensity model.

ii) For which of the three cases in i) does  $N^c$  satisfy the multiplicative intensity model?

**Solution** We have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^c(t)dt &= E[dN^c(t)|\mathcal{F}_t^c] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[dN_i^c(t)|\mathcal{F}_t^c] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(t)Z_i(t)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Define  $Z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i(t)$  and  $Z_\eta(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i(t)Z_i(t)$ . Note first that  $Z_i$  is left-continuous. Also, all the information needed to determine whether  $T_i$  have happened at  $t$  is contained in  $\mathcal{F}_t^c$ . We thus have

- i) a)  $\lambda^c(t) = \alpha(t)Z(t)$   
b)  $\lambda^c(t) = \alpha(t)Z_\eta(t)$   
c)  $\lambda^c(t) = \alpha(t)Z(t) + Z_\eta(t)$ .
- ii) a) Yes  
b) Yes  
c) No. For any representation  $\lambda^c(t) = \tilde{\alpha}(t)\tilde{Z}(t)$ , either  $\tilde{\alpha}$  will not be deterministic or  $\tilde{Z}$  will be a function of  $\alpha$ .

5. Let  $N$  be a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with deterministic intensity function  $\alpha(t)$ . Define  $H(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(s)ds$ . The following two points i)-ii) provide equivalent definitions of such a process:

- i) •  $N(t) - N(s) \sim \text{Poisson}(H(t) - H(s))$  for  $s < t$   
•  $N(t) - N(s)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$  for  $s < t$
- ii)

$$\begin{aligned}P(N_{t+\delta} - N_t = 1|\mathcal{F}_t) &= \alpha(t)\delta + o(\delta^2) \\ P(N_{t+\delta} - N_t = 0|\mathcal{F}_t) &= 1 - \alpha(t)\delta + o(\delta^2)\end{aligned}$$

as  $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ .

Here,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the filtration generated by  $N$ . The second condition in i) implies that  $E[N(t) - N(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[N(t) - N(s)]$ .

- a) Show that  $M = N - H$  is a martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .<sup>\*</sup>
- b) Show that the increments of  $M$  are uncorrelated, i.e. that, for  $v \leq u \leq s \leq t$ ,<sup>†</sup>

$$E[(M(t) - M(s))(M(u) - M(v))] = 0.$$

Suppose that  $N$  is only recorded up to the deterministic time  $X$ , and define  $N^*(t) = N(\min\{t, X\})$ . Thus,  $N^*$  is the process  $N$  censored at  $X$ .

- c) Argue that  $N^*(t)$  is the observed number of jumps of  $N$  up to time  $t$ , and demonstrate that  $N^*$  satisfies the multiplicative intensity model.<sup>‡</sup>
- d) Suppose now that  $X$  is a random variable. Verify that the conclusion in c) holds when  $\{X \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for each  $t$ , or equivalently, that  $I(X \leq \cdot)$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}$ .<sup>§</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Hint: A Poisson distributed variable with parameter  $\lambda > 0$  has mean  $\lambda$ .

<sup>†</sup>Note: this is true for any martingale  $M$ , not just the one from a).

<sup>‡</sup>Hint: start with definition ii). Alternatively, you may find it helpful to use  $N^*(t) = \int_0^t I(X \geq s)dN_s$ .

<sup>§</sup> $X$  is then called a *stopping time* with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Heuristically,  $\mathcal{F}_t$  contains enough information to determine whether  $X$  has occurred by  $t$ .

### Solution

a) Since  $N(t) - N(s)$  is Poisson distributed with parameter  $H(t) - H(s)$  we have  $E[N(t) - N(s)] = H(t) - H(s)$ . Moreover, as  $N(t) - N(s)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$  we have that  $E[N(t) - N(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[N(t) - N(s)]$  Combining those two observations we get

$$\begin{aligned} E[N(t) - H(t) - (N(s) - H(s))|\mathcal{F}_s] &= E[N(t) - N(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] - (H(t) - H(s)) \\ &= E[N(t) - N(s)] - (H(t) - H(s)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $H(t)$  and  $H(s)$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable (since they are deterministic functions) in the first line, that  $N(t) - N(s)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$  in the second line, and the expectation of a Poisson distributed variable in the third line.

b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} E[(M(t) - M(s))(M(u) - M(v))] &= E\left[E[(M(t) - M(s))(M(u) - M(v))|\mathcal{F}_s]\right] \\ &= E\left[E[(M(t) - M(s))|\mathcal{F}_s](M(u) - M(v))\right] \\ &= E\left[(E[M(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] - M(s))(M(u) - M(v))\right] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the law of total expectation in the first line, the fact that  $M(u) - M(v)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable in the second line (since  $u, v \leq s$ ), and the definition of a martingale in the last line.

c) Definition ii) leads to the infinitesimal result

$$E[dN(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \alpha(t)dt.$$

Clearly, the intensity of  $N^*$  coincides with the intensity of  $N$  for  $X \geq t$ , while it is zero for  $X < t$ . Thus

$$E[dN^*(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \alpha(t)I(X \geq t)dt,$$

which means that the intensity of  $N^*(t)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is  $\alpha(t)I(X \geq t)$ , and  $N^*$  satisfies the multiplicative intensity model. Alternatively, use the identity  $N^*(t) = \int_0^t I(X \geq s)dN(s)$  directly. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} E[dN^*(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] &= E[I(X \geq t)dN(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= I(X \geq t)E[dN(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= I(X \geq t)\alpha(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $I(X \geq \cdot)$  is adapted (since it is deterministic) in the second line.

d) We still have that the intensity of  $N^*(t)$  is  $\lambda^*(t) = \alpha(t)I(X \geq t)$ . By this choice of  $X$ ,  $I(X \geq \cdot)$  is both adapted to  $\mathcal{F}$  and left-continuous, and  $\lambda^*(t)$  satisfies the multiplicative intensity model.

### Solution

a) Since  $N(t) - N(s)$  is Poisson distributed with parameter  $H(t) - H(s)$  we have  $E[N(t) - N(s)] = H(t) - H(s)$ . Moreover, as  $N(t) - N(s)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$  we have that  $E[N(t) - N(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[N(t) - N(s)]$  Combining those two observations we get

$$\begin{aligned} E[N(t) - H(t) - (N(s) - H(s))|\mathcal{F}_s] &= E[N(t) - N(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] - (H(t) - H(s)) \\ &= E[N(t) - N(s)] - (H(t) - H(s)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $H(t)$  and  $H(s)$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable (since they are deterministic functions) in the first line, that  $N(t) - N(s)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$  in the second line, and the expectation of a Poisson distributed variable in the third line.

b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} E[(M(t) - M(s))(M(u) - M(v))] &= E\left[E[(M(t) - M(s))(M(u) - M(v))|\mathcal{F}_s]\right] \\ &= E\left[E[(M(t) - M(s))|\mathcal{F}_s](M(u) - M(v))\right] \\ &= E\left[(E[M(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] - M(s))(M(u) - M(v))\right] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the law of total expectation in the first line, the fact that  $M(u) - M(v)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable in the second line (since  $u, v \leq s$ ), and the definition of a martingale in the last line.

c) Definition ii) leads to the infinitesimal result

$$E[dN(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \alpha(t)dt.$$

Clearly, the intensity of  $N^*$  coincides with the intensity of  $N$  for  $X \geq t$ , while it is zero for  $X < t$ . Thus

$$E[dN^*(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \alpha(t)I(X \geq t)dt,$$

which means that the intensity of  $N^*(t)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is  $\alpha(t)I(X \geq t)$ , and  $N^*$  satisfies the multiplicative intensity model. Alternatively, use the identity  $N^*(t) = \int_0^t I(X \geq s)dN(s)$  directly. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} E[dN^*(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] &= E[I(X \geq t)dN(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= I(X \geq t)E[dN(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= I(X \geq t)\alpha(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $I(X \geq \cdot)$  is adapted (since it is deterministic) in the second line.

d) We still have that the intensity of  $N^*(t)$  is  $\lambda^*(t) = \alpha(t)I(X \geq t)$ . By this choice of  $X$ ,  $I(X \geq \cdot)$  is both adapted to  $\mathcal{F}$  and left-continuous, and  $\lambda^*(t)$  satisfies the multiplicative intensity model.

6. In this problem we will use the definition of the optional variation process  $[ \cdot ]$  from the lecture notes. Thus, we will need to take limits  $[G](t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n (G(kt/n) - G((k-1)t/n))^2$  (in probability) of processes  $G$ .

Let  $\{N(t) : t \in [0, \tau]\}$  be a counting process. Let  $\lambda$  be the intensity of  $N$  with respect to some filtration  $\mathcal{F}$ , so that  $\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds$  is the cumulative intensity, and  $M = N - \Lambda$  is a martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Assume that  $\int_0^\tau \lambda(s)^2 ds \leq K$  for some constant  $K$ .

a) Show that  $N$  has a finite number of jumps with probability 1. Hint: start by looking at  $E[N(\tau)]$ , use that  $M$  is a martingale and that  $\int_0^\tau \lambda(s)^2 ds \leq K$ .<sup>¶</sup>

b) Show that the optional variation process  $[N]$  is equal to  $N$  (recall that there are no tied event times, so that  $N(t) - N(t-) \leq 1$  for all  $t$ ).

### Solution

---

<sup>¶</sup>Hint: Use also the inequality  $(\int_a^b f(s)ds)^2 \leq (b-a) \int_a^b f(s)^2 ds$ .

a) We have that  $\int_0^\tau \lambda(s)ds \leq \sqrt{\int_0^\tau 1^2 ds \cdot \int_0^\tau \lambda(s)^2 ds} \leq \sqrt{\tau K}$ , where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the assumption  $\int_0^\tau \lambda(s)^2 ds \leq K$  for some constant  $K$ . We can thus conclude that  $E[N(\tau)] = E[\int_0^\tau \lambda(s)ds] \leq \sqrt{\tau K}$ . Now, this implies that  $P(N(\tau) < \infty) = 1$  (otherwise

$$E[N(\tau)]$$

wouldn't be finite). Thus, with probability 1, a realisation of  $N$  will have a finite number of jumps.

b) Choose  $n'$  large enough so that each of the intervals  $\{(k-1)t/n', kt/n'\}_{k=1}^{n'}$  has at most one event (we have seen that such an  $n'$  is guaranteed to exist). Thus,

$$(N(kt/n') - N((k-1)t/n'))^2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there is an event in } [(k-1)t/n', kt/n') \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $N$  has a total of  $N(t)$  jumps of size 1 up to  $t$ , the sum reduces to

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (N(kt/n) - N((k-1)t/n))^2 = N(t),$$

whenever  $n \geq n'$ . Hence,

$$[N](t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n (N(kt/n) - N((k-1)t/n))^2 = N(t).$$

7. Suppose  $M = \{M_0, M_1, M_2, \dots\}$  is a discrete Martingale. Show that  $Cov(M_m, M_n - M_m) = 0, \forall n > m$ .

**Solution**